- Campus Labs 7/25/17, 11:32 PM

POLS 265 (LEC-001): Intro/International Relations

Spring Sem 2017 | AARON GOLD

35 | Students Enrolled 17 | Students Responded **48.57%** | Response Rate

Quantitative

Rating Scale:		Strongly Agree	Agree	Neutral	Disag	ree Strong Disagr		e	N	DNA	SD	М	
5=Strongly Agree 4=Agree 3=Neutral 2=Disagree 1=Strongly Disagree 0=Not Applicable													
based on course. To 5=Strongl 3=Neutra 1=Strongl tion does	te the following que your experiences in he rating categories ly Agree (SA), 4=Agro l (N), 2=Disagree (D ly Disagree (SD). If to not apply to this co eNot Applicable (NA)	n this s are see (A),), and she ques- ourse then											
	ector contributed to your course content.	our under-	58.82% (10)	29.41% (5)	11.76% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		17	0	0.7	4.4
The instructor created an atmosphere that invited you to seek additional help.		64.71% (11)	23.53% (4)	5.88% (1)	5.88%	(1) 0% (0)	0% (0)		17	0	0.85	4.4	
The instructor responded to your inquiries about the course (e.g., emails, texts, phone calls) within a reasonable time- frame (i.e., 48-72 hours).		70.59% (12)	17.65% (3)	11.76% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		17	0	0.69	4.5	
	ctor created a respectarning environment.	tful and	76.47% (13)	17.65% (3)	0% (0)	5.88%	(1) 0% (0)	0% (0)		17	0	0.76	4.6
	ctor provided useful f assignments.	feedback	64.71% (11)	29.41% (5)	5.88% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		17	0	0.6	4.59
The course thing new	e challenged you to le	arn some-	47.06% (8)	47.06% (8)	5.88% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		17	0	0.6	4.4
The class s	sessions were well org	ganized.	47.06% (8)	41.18% (7)	11.76% (2)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		17	0	0.68	4.3
The course materials (readings, home- work, laboratories, etc.) enhanced your learning in this course.		47.06% (8)	35.29% (6)	17.65% (3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		17	0	0.75	4.29	
14-15	16-17	18-19	Under 2 20-21	2-3 22 or mo	4-5 re		6-7	8-9	10-11	N	12-13 DNA		М
	ge, how many hours po		29.41% (5)	35.29% (6)	5.88% (1)	17.65% (3)	11.76% (2)	0% (0)		0% (0)		
have you spent on this course outside of class meetings (e.g., doing readings, com- pleting assignments, studying for exams, and/or any other related course work)?		0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		0% (0)	0% (0)		17	0	-	-	
C-	D	F	A Satisfacto- ry/Passing		B+ Incor	nplete	B I do not kn what my grade will b		C+	N	C DNA	SD	М
The grade I expect in this course is:			47.06% (8)	5.88% (1)	11.76%	(2)	11.76% (2)	5.88% (1)	17.65%	(3)	0% (0))	
0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0))	0% (0)			17	0	1.96	2.70
			My primary major	My seconda major		cognat	My graduate certificate (graduate students only)	A general ed- ucation re- quirement (undergradu- ates only)	An Elec	tive	DNA	SD	M N
In regards to your academic program/major, this course is for:		66.67% (12)	16.67% (3)	16.67%	(3)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0% (0)		0	-	- 1 Q	
													18

- Campus Labs 7/25/17, 11:32 PM

	First-Year undergradu- ate	Sophomore	Junior	Senior	Graduate	Non-matricu- lating Student	DNA	SD	M N
My class/year in school is:	17.65% (3)	41.18% (7)	35.29% (6)	5.88% (1)	0% (0)	0% (0)	0	-	-
									17

Qualitative

Is there any additional feedback you would like to provide about the instructor (e.g., teaching style, time management, accessibility)? -

- Honestly a good teacher. Asked us half way through the semester is we had any suggestions for him as far as ways he can improve his teaching, and he immediately changed and adopted our recommendations, which helped us (at least me) to better understand the content.
- probably one of the most fair teachers I've ever had, really enjoyed his class-- wish he was continuing to teach at UT next year
- I really enjoyed this class as his teaching. The only critique I have is how long it took to get paper grades back. It usually took him a month to get a 2 page paper graded and returned.
- I can't say enough good things about Aaron. He was very helpful and explained difficult concepts in a way that could be understood easily.
- He is a good professor, sometimes trails off, but he gives you exactly what you want for the tests and critique papers.
- The atmosphere to this class was highly positive and highly fun to learn from.
- International Relations is boring topic in nature. Incorporating more assignments to gauge interest in the course would be beneficial.
- Your explanations and feedback on critiques were very helpful, as well as making sure you always had the study guide complete and available well before the test, giving us ample time to study.
- Don't lecture so much. Get the class more involved. We learn from our peers just as much as we learn from you. Also your exams are freaking insane man. Chill out please. Other than all around a great guy.
- No

Is there any additional feedback you would like to provide about the course (e.g., workload, content, technology)? -

- No
- Workload was fine. But for the essays not as long of article to create an essay. And lighten up on the essay format grading.
- I think you should incorporate the readings you assign into your lectures a little more; some of them are very long and at times confusing, so it would be helpful to go over them more in depth during class.
- The consistency to the assignments for this class was satisfying and not overbearing like previous courses that I have taken before at UTK.
- The assignments were challenging, but doable. They really made the student engage with the readings, which is good.
- I really enjoyed the structure of this course. No pointless homework was great. 3 papers and 2 tests, which I really enjoyed.